

Family Name	Matterson
Given Name	Valerie
Person ID	1287207
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Matterson
Given Name	Valerie
Person ID	1287207
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>A new different committee was set up in GMCA to move these plans forward so the original committee is now different and significant changes have occurred following the decision by Stockport Council to depart from the original GMCA.</p> <p>All the documents showing evidence supporting this proposal have required a significant change and clearly the effects of the Covid pandemic must have changed an awful lot of the original requirements for housing. There has to be a different outlook now as huge numbers of people work at home and over the pandemic have been enjoying the Green Belt and everything it has to offer. Dont take this away from us.</p> <p>It should not proceed and the PfE should go through a Regulation 18 Consultation.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>This plan should be withdrawn from the Examination in order that further discussions about meeting Stockport Councils unmet housing needs can take place.</p> <p>The plan does not meet the requirements for sustainable development and there are numerous empty units on Stakehill Industrial Estate that should be utilised and brown field land. Everyday we are caught up in traffic jams as the current A627M cannot cope with the volume of traffic we have today. Never mind the idea of building 800 plus houses here and huge industrial units. Land is a precious resource and once greenbelt has gone, its gone. There will be so many factors that will change for the worse i.e, increased carbon emissions and air pollution, but the most significant is the impact of the loss of green space on the mental and physical health of residents and then the need for more medical help which is already at full capacity.</p>